

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/675,165	(	09/29/2000	Naoji Otsuka	35.C14829	35.C14829 2801	
5514	7590	10/20/2004		EXAM	IINER	
FITZPATR 30 ROCKEF	-	LLA HARPER & S LAZA	NGUYEN, THINH H			
NEW YORK, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2061	-	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/675,165	OTSUKA ET AL.						
, . <b></b> ,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thinh H Nguyen	2861						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: newly amended claim 25.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•						
10. Other:								
			:					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Askeland clearly discussed executing mask valu to control the firing of the nozzles thereby to control the color ink drop deposition order.

10)

Thinh Nguyen Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800